

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

★ ★ ★

Application No. 17331 of JPI Apartment Development LP, on behalf of Larry D. and Carol K. Quillian, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3104.1, for a special exception to allow an addition to an existing single-family dwelling under section 223 not meeting the side yard (section 405), court (section 406) and nonconforming structure (subsection 2001.3) requirements to establish a flat (two-family dwelling in the R-4 District at premises 1229 E Street, S.E. (Square 1019, Lot 816).

HEARING DATE: June 14, 2005
DECISION DATE: July 5, 2005

SUMMARY ORDER

SELF-CERTIFIED

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3113.2.

The Board provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing on this application by publication in the D.C. Register, and by mail to Advisory Neighborhood Commission (ANC) 6B and to owners of property within 200 feet of the site. The site of this application is located within the jurisdiction of ANC 6B, which is automatically a party to this application. ANC 6B submitted a report in support of the application. The Office of Planning (OP) also submitted a report on the application. OP's recommendation is summarized below.

PROCEDURAL ISSUE

In its report dated May 25, 2005, the Office of Planning ("OP") expressed concern about the Applicant's use of a trellis to connect the existing single-family dwelling with an addition at the rear of the property containing one residential unit (thus establishing a flat), in order to apply for relief under section 223. OP asserted that such an application does not meet the intent of section 233 and "will open the door for multiple buildings on a lot that should either not be approved, or when applicable should be considered under section 2516 as an exception to building lot control." Accordingly, OP recommended that the design be amended to remove the trellis and either (i) directly connect the two dwellings as one structure; or (ii)

attach the two dwellings with a connection that meets the definition of building under section 100.1.

The Applicant argued that the Board had already determined that a trellis meets the definition of "building" under section 199.1 of the Zoning Regulations in BZA Application No. 16863-A, and that use of a trellis to connect two structures in the instant case is sufficient to establish one building for zoning purposes based on this and other past precedent.

The Board requested the Applicant and OP to brief this issue. The Applicant submitted its post-hearing submission and proposed order on June 27, 2005. The Board considered this issue at its July 5, 2005, and concluded that, based on past precedent, the trellis proposed by the Applicant meets the definition of building under section 199.1 because the trellis will have a roof that provides at least 51 percent coverage and is supported by columns for the shelter, enclosure or support of persons. As such, the trellis provides an adequate connection between the existing single-family house and the addition to the rear to constitute one building under the Zoning Regulations.

DECISION

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Board has required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case pursuant to section 3104.1, for special exception under section 223. No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to this application or otherwise requested to participate as a party in this proceeding. Accordingly, as set forth in the provisions and conditions below, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

Based upon the record before the Board and having given great weight to the ANC and the OP report filed in this case, the Board concludes that the applicant has met the burden of proving under 11 DCMR §§ 3104.1 and 223, and that the relief can be granted without substantial detriment to the public good and without substantially impairing the intent, purpose, and integrity of the zone plan as embodied in the Zoning Regulations and Map.

Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3101.6, the Board has determined to waive the requirement of 11 DCMR § 3125.3, that the order of the Board be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law. It is therefore **ORDERED** that this application be **GRANTED**.

VOTE: **4-1-0** (Ruthanne G. Miller, Curtis L. Etherly, Jr., John A. Mann II, Geoffrey H. Griffis to approve; and Kevin

Hildebrand to deny).

BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

Each concurring member approved the issuance of this order.

ATTESTED BY:



JERRILY R. KRESS, FAIA
Director, Office of Zoning

FINAL DATE OF ORDER: JUL 06 2005

UNDER 11 DCMR 3125.9, "NO DECISION OR ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN DAYS AFTER HAVING BECOME FINAL PURSUANT TO THE SUPPLEMENTAL RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE FOR THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT."

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3130, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS AFTER IT BECOMES EFFECTIVE UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, THE APPLICANT FILES PLANS FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS FOR THE PURPOSES OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125 APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE, UNLESS THE BOARD ORDERS OTHERWISE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD.

D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 ET SEQ., (ACT) THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS ALSO PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS ALSO PROHIBITED BY THE ACT.

DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION. THE FAILURE OR REFUSAL OF THE APPLICANT TO COMPLY SHALL FURNISH GROUNDS FOR THE DENIAL OR, IF ISSUED, REVOCATION OF ANY BUILDING PERMITS OR CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY ISSUED PURSUANT TO THIS ORDER. RSN

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT



BZA APPLICATION NO. 17331

As Director of the Office of Zoning, I hereby certify and attest that on JUL 06 2005 a copy of the order entered on that date in this matter was mailed first class, postage prepaid or delivered via inter-agency mail, to each party and public agency who appeared and participated in the public hearing concerning the matter, and who is listed below:

Carolyn Brown, Esq.
Holland & Knight LLP
2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 100
Washington, D.C. 20016

Chairperson
Advisory Neighborhood Commission 6B
921 Pennsylvania Avenue, S.E.
Washington, D.C. 20003

Commissioner 6B04
Advisory Neighborhood Commission 6B
921 Pennsylvania Avenue, S.E.
Washington, D.C. 20003

Sharon Ambrose, City Councilmember
Ward Six
1350 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 102
Washington, D.C. 20004

Corey Buffo, Acting Zoning Administrator
Building and Land Regulation Administration
Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs
941 N. Capitol Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20002

Ellen McCarthy, Interim Director
Office of Planning
801 North Capitol Street, N.E.
4th Floor

BZA APPLICATION NO. 17331

PAGE NO. 2

Washington, D.C. 20002

Alan Bergstein, Esq.
Office of Attorney the General
441 4th Street, N.W., 6th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001

rsn

ATTESTED BY:



JERRILY R. KRESS, FAIA
Director, Office of Zoning