

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Board of Zoning Adjustment



Application No. 18913 of Simone Goring and Richard Devaney, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3103.2 and 3104.1, for area variances from the lot size and width requirements of § 401.3, the lot occupancy requirements of § 403.2, the side yard requirements of § 405.6, the court requirements of § 406.1, and the off-street parking requirements of § 2101.1, and special exception relief from the roof structure setback requirement under § 400.7, to construct a two-family flat that consists of two two-level units in the R-4 District at premises 517 Q Street, N.W. (Square 477, Lot 827).¹

HEARING DATE: February 3, 2015
DECISION DATE: February 3, 2015

SUMMARY ORDER

SELF-CERTIFIED

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3113.2. (Exhibits 3 and 29B.)

The Board of Zoning Adjustment ("Board" or "BZA") provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing on this application by publication in the *D.C. Register* and by mail to Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("ANC") 6E and to owners of property located within 200 feet of the site. The site of this application is located within the jurisdiction of ANC 6E, which is automatically a party to this application. The ANC submitted a resolution in support of the application, dated November 15, 2014, which was based on the Applicant's original plan to develop a three-unit multiple dwelling building with lot occupancy of 76%. The ANC's resolution indicated that at a duly noticed and scheduled public meeting on November 6, 2014, at which a quorum was in attendance, the ANC voted unanimously (6-0-0) in support of the application. (Exhibit 26.) On January 12, 2015, the Office of Planning ("OP") informed the ANC's representative that the Applicant had revised the application to develop the site with a two-family flat, with the percentage of lot occupancy reduced from 76% to 71.8%. On January

¹ The application was amended to add variance relief under §§ 401.3 and 406.1. The Applicant revised the plans and the project was changed from three units to two and the lot occupancy was lowered from 76% to 71.8%. (Exhibit 29.) The caption has been amended accordingly.

BZA APPLICATION NO. 18913
PAGE NO. 2

20, 2015, the Applicant submitted its Prehearing Statement with a revised Self-Certification Form (Exhibit 29B) showing the revised development parameters.

OP submitted a timely report on January 20, 2015, recommending approval of the application for a two-family flat (Exhibit 28) and testified in support of the application at the hearing. The District Department of Transportation (“DDOT”) submitted a timely report, dated January 21, 2015, indicating that it had no objection to the Applicant's requests for variance and special exception relief. (Exhibits 30 and 31.)

Variance Relief

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Board required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case pursuant to § 3103.2 for area variances from 11 DCMR §§ 401.3, 403.2, 405.6, 406.1, and 2101.1. No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to the application. Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

Based upon the record before the Board, and having given great weight to the OP report and ANC 6E report filed in this case, the Board concludes that in seeking variances from 11 DCMR §§ 401.3, 403.2, 405.6, 406.1, and 2101.1, the Applicant has met the burden of proof under 11 DCMR § 3103.2, that there exists an exceptional or extraordinary situation or condition related to the property that creates a practical difficulty for the owner in complying with the Zoning Regulations, and that the relief can be granted without substantial detriment to the public good and without substantially impairing the intent, purpose, and integrity of the zone plan as embodied in the Zoning Regulations and Map.

Special Exception Relief

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Board required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case for a special exception from the roof structure setback requirements (11 DCMR § 400.7). No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to the application. Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

The Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof for special exception relief, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3104.1 and 400.7, and that the requested relief can be granted as being in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map. The Board further concludes that granting the requested relief will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property in accordance with the Zoning Regulations and Map.

Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3100.5, the Board has determined to waive the requirement of 11 DCMR § 3125.3, that the order of the Board be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law. The waiver will not prejudice the rights of any party and is appropriate in this case.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that the application is hereby **GRANTED SUBJECT TO THE APPROVED REVISED PLANS AT EXHIBIT 29A.**

BZA APPLICATION NO. 18913
PAGE NO. 3

VOTE: **5-0-0** (Lloyd L. Jordan, S. Kathryn Allen, Marnique Y. Heath, Jeffrey L. Hinkle, and Marcie I. Cohen to Approve.)

BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

ATTESTED BY:



SARA A. BARDIN
Director, Office of Zoning

FINAL DATE OF ORDER: February 12, 2015

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125.9, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO § 3125.6.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3130, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS AFTER IT BECOMES EFFECTIVE UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, THE APPLICANT FILES PLANS FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT, OR THE APPLICANT FILES A REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION PURSUANT TO § 3130.6 PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE TWO-YEAR PERIOD AND THE REQUEST IS GRANTED. PURSUANT TO § 3129.9, NO OTHER ACTION, INCLUDING THE FILING OR GRANTING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A MODIFICATION PURSUANT TO §§ 3129.2 OR 3129.7, SHALL TOLL OR EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125, APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 *ET SEQ.* (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX

BZA APPLICATION NO. 18913
PAGE NO. 4

DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.