

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**  
**Board of Zoning Adjustment**



**Application No. 18993 of Colleen Reilly and Gary Addie**, as amended<sup>1</sup>, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3104.1 for a special exception under § 223, not meeting the rear yard requirements under § 404.1 and the nonconforming structure requirements under § 2001.3, to allow the construction of a one story rear addition in the R-1-B District at premises 2412 Chain Bridge Road N.W. (Square 1413, Lot 809).

**HEARING DATE:** May 5, 2015  
**DECISION DATE:** May 5, 2015

**SUMMARY ORDER**

**SELF-CERTIFIED**

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3113.2. (Exhibit 7.) Based on a recommendation from the Office of Planning (“OP”), the Applicant amended the application at the hearing to request additional relief from the nonconforming structure requirements under § 2001.3. The Applicant submitted an amended self-certification form to reflect the additional requested relief. (Exhibit 37.)

The Board of Zoning Adjustment (the “Board”) provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing on this application by publication in the *D.C. Register* and by mail to Advisory Neighborhood Commission (“ANC”) 3D, and to owners of property within 200 feet of the site. The site of this application is located within the jurisdiction of ANC 3D, which is automatically a party to this application. The ANC submitted a report indicating that at a regularly scheduled and properly noticed meeting on April 1, 2015, at which a quorum was in attendance, ANC 3D voted 9-0-0 in support of the application. (Exhibit 26.) Alma Gates, a representative of ANC 3D, also testified in support.

OP submitted a timely report and testified at the hearing in support of the application. (Exhibit 31.) The District’s Department of Transportation (“DDOT”) submitted a timely report indicating

---

<sup>1</sup> The Applicant initially requested special exception relief under § 223 for an addition not meeting the rear yard requirements of § 404.1. Based on a recommendation from OP, the Applicant amended the application to add a request for relief from the nonconforming structure requirements of § 2001.3. (Exhibit 37.) The caption has been changed accordingly.

**BZA APPLICATION NO. 18993**  
**PAGE NO. 2**

it had no objection to the approval of the application. (Exhibit 29.) Five neighbors submitted letters in support to the record. (Exhibits 20-23, 25, and 33.)

One adjacent neighbor, Tania Kaddeche, submitted a letter in opposition. (Exhibit 30.) In a subsequent filing, Ms. Kaddeche indicated that she wished to amend the position taken in her previous letter. (Exhibit 35.) Ms. Kaddeche indicated that she would only object to the proposed project if the Applicant intends to construct a second-story deck. At the hearing, the Applicant testified that the proposed addition does not include a second-story deck, as confirmed by the approved plans under Exhibit 6.

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Board has required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case pursuant to § 3104.1, for a special exception under §§ 223, 404.1, and 2001.3. No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to this application. Thus, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

Based upon the record before the Board and having given great weight to the OP and ANC reports, the Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3104.1, 223, 404.1, and 2001.3, that the requested relief can be granted as being in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map. The Board further concludes that granting the requested relief will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property in the accordance with the Zoning Regulations and Map.

Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3100.5, the Board has determined to waive the requirement of 11 DCMR § 3125.3, that the order of the Board be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law. It is therefore **ORDERED** that this application is hereby **GRANTED, SUBJECT TO THE APPROVED PLANS AT EXHIBIT 6.**

**VOTE: 4-0-1** (Lloyd J. Jordan, Anthony J. Hood, Marnique Y. Heath, and Jeffrey L. Hinkle to APPROVE; one Board seat vacant.)

**BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT**

The majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

ATTESTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_

  
**SARA A. SARDIN**  
Director, Office of Zoning

**FINAL DATE OF ORDER:** May 14, 2015

**BZA APPLICATION NO. 18993**  
**PAGE NO. 3**

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125.9, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO § 3125.6.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3130, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS AFTER IT BECOMES EFFECTIVE UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, THE APPLICANT FILES PLANS FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT, OR THE APPLICANT FILES A REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION PURSUANT TO § 3130.6 AT LEAST 30 DAYS PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE TWO-YEAR PERIOD AND THAT SUCH REQUEST IS GRANTED. NO OTHER ACTION, INCLUDING THE FILING OR GRANTING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A MODIFICATION PURSUANT TO §§ 3129.2 OR 3129.7, SHALL EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125, APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 *ET SEQ.* (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.