

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**  
**Board of Zoning Adjustment**



**Application No. 19093 of Warder LLC**, as amended<sup>1</sup>, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3103.2 and 3104.1, for a variance from the open court requirements under § 406 and for a special exception from the use requirements under § 336, to renovate and convert a vacant one-family semi-detached building into a three-unit apartment house in the R-4 District at premises 2708 Sherman Avenue N.W. (Square 2858, Lot 53).

**HEARING DATES:** October 27, 2015 and November 10, 2015  
**DECISION DATE:** November 17, 2015

**SUMMARY ORDER**

**SELF-CERTIFIED**

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3113.2. (Exhibit 5.) The application was amended by the Applicant in its pre-hearing statement to add variance relief from open court (§ 406). (Exhibit 27.)

The Board of Zoning Adjustment ("Board" or "BZA") provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing on this application by publication in the *D.C. Register* and by mail to Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("ANC") 1B and to owners of property located within 200 feet of the site. The site of this application is located within the jurisdiction of ANC 1B, which is automatically a party to this application. The ANC submitted two reports of support for the application. The first ANC report is dated October 3, 2015 and indicates that at a duly noticed and regularly scheduled public meeting on October 1, 2015, at which a quorum was in attendance, the ANC voted 10-0-0 in support of the application for special exception. (Exhibit 24.) The second ANC report is dated November 5, 2015 and states that at a duly noticed and scheduled public meeting on November 5, 2015, at which a quorum was in attendance, the ANC voted 8-0 to support the application, as amended, including variance relief from the open court requirements under § 406. (Exhibit 33.)

---

<sup>1</sup> The application was amended by the Applicant to add variance relief from open court (§ 406) at the Office of Planning's recommendation. (Exhibit 27.) The caption has been revised accordingly.

**BZA APPLICATION NO. 19093**  
**PAGE NO. 2**

The Office of Planning (“OP”) submitted a timely report dated October 20, 2015, recommending approval of the application (Exhibit 26) and testified in support of the application at the hearing. In its report OP recommended approval of both special exception relief under § 336 and variance relief under § 406 as well as four conditions. The District Department of Transportation (“DDOT”) submitted a timely report indicating that it had no objection to the application. (Exhibit 23.)

At the public hearing on November 10, the adjacent neighbor, Mr. Suleiman, testified in opposition, raising concerns about structural damage during construction and his inability to rent out his apartments based on the state of the Applicant’s property. The Board asked the Applicant to respond to these issues, but noted that they are outside of the Board’s considerations for the relief requested by the Applicant. The Board also asked the Applicant to submit revised plans for the front façade, which the Applicant provided under Exhibit 34.

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Board required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case pursuant to § 3103.2 for an area variance from the open court requirements under § 406, to renovate and convert a vacant one-family semi-detached building into a three-unit apartment house in the R-4 District. The only parties to the case were the ANC and the Applicant. No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to the application. Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

Based upon the record before the Board, and having given great weight to the ANC and OP reports filed in this case, the Board concludes that in seeking a variance from 11 DCMR § 406, the Applicant has met the burden of proof under 11 DCMR § 3103.2, that there exists an exceptional or extraordinary situation or condition related to the property that creates a practical difficulty for the owner in complying with the Zoning Regulations, and that the relief can be granted without substantial detriment to the public good and without substantially impairing the intent, purpose, and integrity of the zone plan as embodied in the Zoning Regulations and Map.

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Board required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case for a special exception from the use requirements under § 336, to renovate and convert a vacant one-family semi-detached building into a three-unit apartment house in the R-4 District. The only parties to the case were the ANC and the Applicant. No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to the application. Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

The Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof for special exception relief, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3104.1 and 336 that the requested relief can be granted as being in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map. The Board further concludes that granting the requested relief will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property in accordance with the Zoning Regulations and Map.

**BZA APPLICATION NO. 19093**  
**PAGE NO. 3**

Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3100.5, the Board has determined to waive the requirement of 11 DCMR § 3125.5, that the order of the Board be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law. The waiver will not prejudice the rights of any party and is appropriate in this case.


It is therefore **ORDERED** that the application is hereby **GRANTED SUBJECT TO THE APPROVED PLANS AT EXHIBIT 28, AS MODIFIED BY THE FRONT FAÇADE PLAN AT EXHIBIT 34, AND WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:**

1. The Applicant shall use brick material on the court, similar to the south façade of the building.
2. The Applicant shall include windows on the east façade.
3. The Applicant shall restore the building's former front porch.
4. The Applicant shall submit dimensioned plans consistent with the Board's approval, to facilitate permit plan review by the Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs (DCRA).

**VOTE:**       **3-0-2** (Marnique Y. Heath, Frederick L. Hill, and Peter G. May (by absentee ballot) to APPROVE; Jeffrey L. Hinkle, not present or participating; one Board seat vacant.)

**BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT**  
A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

**ATTESTED BY:** \_\_\_\_\_

  
**SARA A. BARDIN**  
Director, Office of Zoning

**FINAL DATE OF ORDER:** November 18, 2015

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125.9, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO § 3125.6.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3130, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS AFTER IT BECOMES EFFECTIVE UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, THE APPLICANT FILES PLANS FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT, OR THE APPLICANT FILES A REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION PURSUANT TO § 3130.6 PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE TWO-YEAR PERIOD AND THE REQUEST IS GRANTED. PURSUANT TO § 3129.9, NO OTHER ACTION, INCLUDING THE FILING OR

**BZA APPLICATION NO. 19093**  
**PAGE NO. 4**

GRANTING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A MODIFICATION PURSUANT TO §§ 3129.2 OR 3129.7, SHALL TOLL OR EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125, APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3205, THE PERSON WHO OWNS, CONTROLS, OCCUPIES, MAINTAINS, OR USES THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, OR ANY PART THERETO, SHALL COMPLY WITH THE CONDITIONS IN THIS ORDER, AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT. FAILURE TO ABIDE BY THE CONDITIONS IN THIS ORDER, IN WHOLE OR IN PART SHALL BE GROUNDS FOR THE REVOCATION OF ANY BUILDING PERMIT OR CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY ISSUED PURSUANT TO THIS ORDER.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 ET SEQ. (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.