

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**  
**Board of Zoning Adjustment**



**Application No. 19094 of 28th Street Partners, LLC**, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3104.1, for a special exception from the use requirements under § 336, to convert a residential building into a three-unit apartment house in the R-4 District at premises 64 W Street N.W. (Square 3118, Lot 52).

**HEARING DATES:** October 27 and November 24, 2015  
**DECISION DATE:** November 24, 2015

**SUMMARY ORDER**

**SELF-CERTIFIED**

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3113.2. (Exhibit 6.)

The Board of Zoning Adjustment (“Board”) provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing on this application by publication in the *D.C. Register* and by mail to Advisory Neighborhood Commission (“ANC”) 5E, and to owners of property within 200 feet of the site. The site of this application is located within the jurisdiction of ANC 5E, which is automatically a party to this application. ANC 5E filed a report dated November 16, 2015, indicating that at a regularly scheduled, duly noticed public meeting on October 20, 2015, with a quorum of Commissioners present, the ANC considered the application. The ANC voted by voice vote, with a majority of the Commissioners voting to recommend approval of the application. (Exhibit 35.)

The Office of Planning (“OP”) submitted a timely report on October 20, 2015, indicating that it could not support approval of the application based on inconsistencies in the drawings submitted by the Applicant. OP noted that it did not support any modification to the front façade, including the roofline, existing dormer, and front porch. (Exhibit 27.) At the public hearing on October 27, 2015, OP testified that it was supportive of the application, based on supplemental information provided by the Applicant, but requested clearer drawings to resolve internal inconsistencies. After the Applicant made the requested submission, (Exhibit 34,) OP testified in support of the relief requested at the Board’s public hearing on November 24, 2015.

**BZA APPLICATION NO. 19094**  
**PAGE NO. 2**

The District Department of Transportation ("DDOT") submitted a timely report on October 8, 2015 indicating that it had no objection to the Applicant's request for special exception relief. (Exhibit 24.)

In advance of the public hearing on October 27, 2015, four nearby residents submitted letters in opposition to the application. (Exhibit 17, 17, 20, and 23.) In the Applicant's supplemental submission on October 22, 2015, it indicated that it had worked with the Bloomingdale Civic Association and received the association's support after agreeing to several conditions. (Exhibit 29.) A neighbor submitted comments indicating that she supports the concessions made by the Applicant in their meetings with the Bloomingdale Civic Association. (Exhibit 30.) Another neighbor, Dr. Sharia Shanklin, testified in opposition at the public hearing on October 27, 2015, and submitted her testimony for the record. (Exhibit 31.) In advance of the hearing and decision on November 24, 2015, Dr. Shanklin filed a letter in support of the project, based on the revised plans and further outreach from the Applicant. (Exhibit 36.)

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Board has required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case pursuant to § 3104.1, for a special exception from the use requirements under § 336, to convert a residential building into a three-unit apartment house in the R-4 District. No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to this application. Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

Based upon the record before the Board and having given great weight to the OP and ANC reports filed in this case, the Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3104.1 and 336, that the requested relief can be granted as being in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map. The Board further concludes that granting the requested relief will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property in accordance with the Zoning Regulations and Map.

Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3100.5, the Board has determined to waive the requirement of 11 DCMR § 3125.5, that the order of the Board be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law. It is therefore **ORDERED** that this application is hereby **GRANTED, SUBJECT TO THE APPROVED REVISED PLANS AT EXHIBIT 34.**

**VOTE:**           **3-0-2** (Marnique Y. Heath, Frederick L. Hill, and Anthony J. Hood, by absentee ballot, to APPROVE; Jeffrey L. Hinkle not participating and one Board seat vacant.)

**BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT**  
A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

ATTESTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_

  
**SARA A. BARDIN**  
**Director, Office of Zoning**

**FINAL DATE OF ORDER:** December 3, 2015

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125.9, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO § 3125.6.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3130, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS AFTER IT BECOMES EFFECTIVE UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, THE APPLICANT FILES PLANS FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT, OR THE APPLICANT FILES A REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION PURSUANT TO § 3130.6 AT LEAST 30 DAYS PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE TWO-YEAR PERIOD AND THAT SUCH REQUEST IS GRANTED. NO OTHER ACTION, INCLUDING THE FILING OR GRANTING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A MODIFICATION PURSUANT TO §§ 3129.2 OR 3129.7, SHALL EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125, APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 *ET SEQ.* (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS



**BZA APPLICATION NO. 19094**  
**PAGE NO. 4**

PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.