

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Board of Zoning Adjustment



Application No. 19113 of Lerner South Capitol Street JV LLC, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3103.2 and 3104.1, for variances from the side yard requirements under § 775.5, and the loading requirements under § 2201.1, and a special exception from the roof structure requirements under § 411.11, to allow roof structures not meeting the setback requirement under § 770.6(b), and single enclosure requirements under § 411.3, to construct a new multi-family apartment building in the C-3-C District at premises 1000 South Capitol Street S.E. (Square 697, Lot 46).

HEARING DATE: November 24, 2015

DECISION DATE: November 24, 2015

SUMMARY ORDER

SELF-CERTIFIED

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3113.2. (Exhibit 6.)

The Board of Zoning Adjustment (“Board”) provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing on this application by publication in the *D.C. Register* and by mail to Advisory Neighborhood Commission (“ANC”) 6D, and to owners of property within 200 feet of the site. The site of this application is located within the jurisdiction of ANC 6D, which is automatically a party to this application.

ANC 6D filed a report dated November 10, 2015, indicating that at a regularly scheduled, duly noticed public meeting on November 9, 2015, with a quorum of Commissioners present, the ANC considered the application. The ANC voted 5-0-1 to recommend approval of the application with three conditions. (Exhibit 28.) At the request of the Board during the public hearing, the Applicant clarified that the third condition, regarding a commitment to provide affordable housing, would be in effect for as long as the project remains a rental building. The Board noted that the conditions proffered by the ANC were generally not related to the relief requested, therefore it was not inclined to adopt them as conditions to the order; however, because the Applicant indicated during the hearing that it accepted the third condition, the Board has adopted that condition.

BZA APPLICATION NO. 19113
PAGE NO. 2

The Office of Planning ("OP") submitted a timely report on November 16, 2015, recommending approval of the application, (Exhibit 29,) and testified in support at the public hearing. The District Department of Transportation ("DDOT") submitted a timely report on November 17, 2015 indicating that it had no objection to the Applicant's requests for variance and special exception relief. (Exhibit 30.)

Half Street SE, LLC, owner of the adjacent property, filed a letter noting that it has no objection to the application, but requesting that the Board adopt the Applicant's loading management plan, as referenced in DDOT's report in Exhibit 30, as a condition of approval. (Exhibit 32.) The Board declined to adopt the specific elements of the Applicant's loading management plan as conditions of the order to allow the Applicant flexibility to work with DDOT on the plan, if necessary.

Variance Relief

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Board has required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case, pursuant to § 3103.2, for variances from the side yard requirements under § 775.5 and the loading requirements under § 2201.1. No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to this application. Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

Based upon the record before the Board and having given great weight to the OP and ANC reports filed in this case, the Board concludes that in seeking a variance from 11 DCMR §§ 775.5 and 2201.1, the Applicant has met the burden of proving under § 3103.2, that there exists an exceptional or extraordinary situation or condition related to the property that creates a practical difficulty for the owner in complying with the Zoning Regulations, and that the relief can be granted without substantial detriment to the public good and without substantially impairing the intent, purpose, and integrity of the zone plan as embodied in the Zoning Regulations and Map.

Special Exception Relief

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Board has required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case pursuant to § 3104.1, for a special exception from the roof structure requirements under § 411.11, to allow roof structures not meeting the setback requirement under § 770.6(b), and single enclosure requirements under § 411.3. No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to this application. Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

Based upon the record before the Board and having given great weight to the OP and ANC reports filed in this case, the Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3104.1 and 411.11, that the requested relief can be granted as

BZA APPLICATION NO. 19113
PAGE NO. 3

being in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map. The Board further concludes that granting the requested relief will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property in accordance with the Zoning Regulations and Map.

Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3100.5, the Board has determined to waive the requirement of 11 DCMR § 3125.5, that the order of the Board be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law. It is therefore **ORDERED** that this application is hereby **GRANTED, SUBJECT TO THE APPROVED PLANS AT EXHIBITS 27B1 – 27B6, AND SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING CONDITION:**

1. The Applicant, or any successor owner/operator of the site, in a rental apartment building development, shall make two units affordable: one for a household with an income that is 80-120% of Area Median Income and one for a household with an income that is 50-80% of Area Median Income. The number of bedrooms in each unit shall be in keeping with the unit distribution in the completed building. The Applicant shall verify the income of each household living in an affordable unit and set the rent at no more than 30% of each household's income.

VOTE: **3-0-2** (Marnique Y. Heath, Marcie I. Cohen, and Frederick L. Hill, to APPROVE; Jeffrey L. Hinkle not participating and one Board seat vacant.)

BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT
A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

ATTESTED BY: 
SARA A. BARDIN
Director, Office of Zoning

FINAL DATE OF ORDER: December 2, 2015

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125.9, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO § 3125.6.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3130, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS AFTER IT BECOMES EFFECTIVE UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-

BZA APPLICATION NO. 19113
PAGE NO. 4

YEAR PERIOD, THE APPLICANT FILES PLANS FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT, OR THE APPLICANT FILES A REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION PURSUANT TO § 3130.6 AT LEAST 30 DAYS PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE TWO-YEAR PERIOD AND THAT SUCH REQUEST IS GRANTED. NO OTHER ACTION, INCLUDING THE FILING OR GRANTING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A MODIFICATION PURSUANT TO §§ 3129.2 OR 3129.7, SHALL EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125, APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3205, THE PERSON WHO OWNS, CONTROLS, OCCUPIES, MAINTAINS, OR USES THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, OR ANY PART THERETO, SHALL COMPLY WITH THE CONDITIONS IN THIS ORDER, AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT. FAILURE TO ABIDE BY THE CONDITIONS IN THIS ORDER, IN WHOLE OR IN PART SHALL BE GROUNDS FOR THE REVOCATION OF ANY BUILDING PERMIT OR CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY ISSUED PURSUANT TO THIS ORDER.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 *ET SEQ.* (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.