

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**  
**Board of Zoning Adjustment**



**Application No. 19299 of Peet's Coffee & Tea**, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3104.1, for a special exception from the prepared food shop requirements under §§ 712 and 721.3(t), to operate a prepared food (coffee) shop with greater than 18 seats in the C-2-A District at premises 3299 M Street N.W. (Square 1206, Lot 34).

**HEARING DATE:** July 12, 2016

**DECISION DATE:** July 12, 2016

**SUMMARY ORDER**

**SELF-CERTIFIED**

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3113.2. (Exhibit 4.) In granting the certified relief, the Board of Zoning Adjustment ("Board" or "BZA") made no finding that the relief is either necessary or sufficient. Instead, the Board expects the Zoning Administrator to undertake a thorough and independent review of the building permit and certificate of occupancy applications filed for this project and to deny any application for which additional or different zoning relief is needed.

The Board provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing on this application by publication in the *D.C. Register* and by mail to Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("ANC") 2E and to owners of property located within 200 feet of the site. The site of this application is located within the jurisdiction of ANC 2E, which is automatically a party to this application. The ANC submitted a report, dated July 11, 2016, indicating that at a duly noticed and regularly scheduled public meeting on July 5, 2016, at which a quorum was in attendance, ANC 2E voted 6-0-0 in support, with the conditions noted in the Applicant's Pre-Hearing Statement.<sup>1</sup> The ANC's report also indicated that at a properly noticed and scheduled ANC meeting on May 31, 2016, at which a quorum was present, a vote of 7-0-0 in support with conditions was taken. (Exhibit 32.) The ANC's conditions from the May 31 meeting were listed in the Office of Planning's report. (Exhibit 31.)

---

<sup>1</sup> In its Pre-Hearing Statement as well as in testimony at the public hearing on this case, the Applicant indicated that it accepted the conditions and clarified details regarding the exhaust system and trash storage.

**BZA APPLICATION NO. 19299**  
**PAGE NO. 2**

The Office of Planning (“OP”) submitted a timely report recommending approval of the application, subject to conditions. (Exhibit 31.) OP also testified at the hearing in support of the application. The District Department of Transportation (“DDOT”) submitted a timely report indicating that it had no objection to the grant of the application, with one condition regarding a bicycle parking rack. (Exhibit 30.) The Board declined to adopt DDOT’s condition; however, the Applicant agreed to explore the feasibility of installing a bicycle rack on 33<sup>rd</sup> Street, N.W.

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Board has required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case pursuant to § 3104.1, for a special exception from the prepared food shop requirements under §§ 712 and 721.3(t), to operate a prepared food (coffee) shop with greater than 18 seats in the C-2-A District. No parties appeared in opposition at the public hearing. Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

Based upon the record before the Board, and having given great weight to the ANC and OP reports, the Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3104.1, 712, and 721.3(t) that the requested relief can be granted as being in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map. The Board further concludes that granting the requested relief will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property in accordance with the Zoning Regulations and Map.

Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3100.5, the Board has determined to waive the requirement of 11 DCMR § 3125.5, that the order of the Board be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law. The waiver will not prejudice the rights of any party and is appropriate in this case.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that the application is hereby **GRANTED, AND PURSUANT TO § 3125.8, SUBJECT TO THE APPROVED REVISED PLANS AT EXHIBIT 28B AND THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:**

1. There shall be no deep fryer.
2. Any meats cooked shall be grilled only, with vent hoods that exhaust through the roof only.
3. Food shall be prepared primarily for on-site consumption and take-out orders will be secondary.
4. Pest control shall be monthly, or more often if necessary.
5. Refuse shall not be stored on the sidewalk or within public view. Refuse shall be stored securely on site so that odors do not reach a residence district.

**VOTE: 4-0-1** (Marnique Y. Heath, Peter G. May, Anita Butani D’Souza, and Jeffrey L. Hinkle to APPROVE; Frederick L. Hill not participating.)

**BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT**

A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

ATTESTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_  \_\_\_\_\_  
**SARA A. BARDIN**  
Director, Office of Zoning

**FINAL DATE OF ORDER:** July 25, 2016

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125.9, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO § 3125.6.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3130, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS AFTER IT BECOMES EFFECTIVE UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, THE APPLICANT FILES PLANS FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT, OR THE APPLICANT FILES A REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION PURSUANT TO § 3130.6 AT LEAST 30 DAYS PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE TWO-YEAR PERIOD AND THAT SUCH REQUEST IS GRANTED. NO OTHER ACTION, INCLUDING THE FILING OR GRANTING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A MODIFICATION PURSUANT TO §§ 3129.2 OR 3129.7, SHALL EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125, APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3205, THE PERSON WHO OWNS, CONTROLS, OCCUPIES, MAINTAINS, OR USES THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, OR ANY PART THERETO, SHALL COMPLY WITH THE CONDITIONS IN THIS ORDER, AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT. FAILURE TO ABIDE BY THE CONDITIONS IN THIS ORDER, IN WHOLE OR IN PART SHALL BE GROUNDS FOR THE REVOCATION OF ANY BUILDING PERMIT OR CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY ISSUED PURSUANT TO THIS ORDER.

**BZA APPLICATION NO. 19299**

**PAGE NO. 4**

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 ET SEQ. (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.