

GOVERNMENT  
OF  
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

----- :  
IN THE MATTER OF: :  
 : Case No. 05-30  
6000 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.E :  
WEST GROUP DEVELOPMENT COMPANY :  
LLC AND THE JARVIS COMPANY :  
----- :

Thursday,  
September 7, 2006

Hearing Room 220 South  
441 4<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C.

The Public Hearing of Case No. 88-34A by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 7:30 p.m. in the Office of Zoning Hearing Room at 441 4<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, Carol J. Mitten, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

CAROL J. MITTEN	Chairperson
ANTHONY J. HOOD	Vice-Chairperson
GREGORY JEFFRIES	Commissioner
JOHN PARSONS	Commissioner (NPS)
MICHAEL G. TURNBULL	Commissioner (AOC)

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN	Secretary
DONNA HANOUSEK	Zoning Specialist

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JENNIFER STEINGASSER  
KAREN THOMAS

The transcript constitutes the minutes  
from the Public Hearing held on September 7, 2006.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

AGENDA ITEMPAGECALL TO ORDER:

Carol Mitten . . . . . 4

PRELIMINARY MATTERS:

Sharon Schellin (None) . . . . . 6

N. M. Glasgow, Jr., Holland & Knight, LLP . . . . . 6

PRESENTATION OF ANC 4B RESOLUTION AND CITIZENS:

Judy Jones . . . . . 13

Lawrence L. Martin . . . . . 47

APPLICANT'S REBUTTAL:

N. M. Glasgow, Jr. . . . . 17

N. William Jarvis . . . . . 18

Arthur C. Lohsen . . . . . 27

COMMISSIONER QUESTIONS:

Q. Vice Chairperson Mitten . . . . . 22

A. N. M. Glasgow, Jr. . . . . 22

A. N. William Jarvis . . . . . 23

Q. Vice Chairperson Hood . . . . . 24

A. N. M. Glasgow, Jr. . . . . 24

Q. Commissioner Jeffries . . . . . 24

A. N. M. Glasgow, Jr. . . . . 24

A. Steven E. Sher, Holland & Knight, LLP . . . . . 24

Q. Commissioner Jeffries . . . . . 35

A. Arthur C. Lohsen . . . . . 35

Q. Vice Chairperson Hood . . . . . 36

A. Robert Jeter . . . . . 37

Q. Commissioner Turnbull . . . . . 42

A. N.M. Glasgow . . . . . 43

Q. Commissioner Parsons . . . . . 43

A. Robert Jeter . . . . . 44

A. N.M. Glasgow . . . . . 44

A. N. William Jarvis . . . . . 46

APPLICANT'S CLOSING STATEMENTS:

N. M. Glasgow, Jr. . . . . 55

ADJOURN:

Carol Mitten . . . . . 66

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealgross.com

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

7:37 p.m.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Good evening ladies and gentlemen. This is a continuation of a Public Hearing of the Zoning Commission of the District of Columbia. And today is Thursday, September 7, 2006.

My name is Carol Mitten and joining me this evening are Vice Chairman Anthony Hood and Commissioners Michael Turnbull, Greg Jeffries, and John Parsons.

This is a continuation of our hearing in Zoning Commission Case No. 05-30, which we began on July 20, 2005. There will be no public testimony at this hearing. We have a very limited agenda for tonight. No general public testimony. And I'll get to that in a minute.

The subject is a request by West Group Development Company LLC and the Jarvis Company for approval of a consolidated planned unit development and related MAP amendment for property bounded by Rittenhouse Street, New Hampshire Avenue, Peabody Street, Chillum Place, and Sligo Mill Road, which is Lot 74 of Parcel 126, Lots 69-73, 801, 824, and 826, in Square 3714, and Lot 858 in Square 3719.

Notice of today's hearing was published in

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the *D.C. Register* way back on April 28, 2006. And  
2 copies of that hearing announcement are available to  
3 you. And they're in the wall bin by the door.

4 This hearing will be conducted in  
5 accordance with the provisions of 11 DCMR Section  
6 3022, and as I said, we have a very limited number of  
7 items to handle this evening. So we'll take up in  
8 this order. We'll take up any preliminary matters for  
9 this evening. We had reserved an additional  
10 opportunity for the ANC to cross examine the  
11 Applicant's witnesses. And then we'll have the  
12 presentation of the ANC 4B report. And then we'll  
13 have the Applicant's closing statement.

14 All persons appearing before the  
15 Commission are to fill out two witness cards. They  
16 look like this. And we won't have too many people  
17 filling those out tonight. Just the folks from the  
18 ANC and the Applicant's witnesses. Upon coming  
19 forward to speak to the Commission, please give both  
20 card to the report who's sitting to our right.

21 Please be advised that this proceeding is  
22 being recorded by the court reporter and is also being  
23 webcast live. Accordingly, we ask you to refrain from  
24 making any disruptive noises in the hearing room.

25 When you come forward to speak, we ask you

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 to take a seat at the table, turn on and speak into  
2 the microphone, first stating your name and home  
3 address. When you're finished speaking, we ask you to  
4 turn off the microphone because they tend to pick up  
5 background noise.

6 The decision of the Commission, in this  
7 case, must be based exclusively on the public record.  
8 To avoid any appearance to the contrary, the  
9 Commission requests that persons present not engage  
10 the members of the Commission in conversation during  
11 the recess or at any other time.

12 Ms. Schellin and Ms. Hanousek will be  
13 available throughout the hearing to answer any  
14 procedural questions that you might have.

15 We ask you to turn off all beepers and  
16 cell phones at this time, so as not to disrupt our  
17 hearing. And, at this time, we'll take up any  
18 preliminary matters. Ms. Schellin, anything?

19 MS. SCHELLIN: The staff has nothing.

20 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Ms. Glasgow,  
21 anything? Any preliminary matters?

22 MR. GLASGOW: Madam Chair, it may end up  
23 being a preliminary matter, depending upon how the  
24 Commission is going to be dealing with some of the ANC  
25 documents that have been filed within the last day or

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 so.

2 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Well, okay. Just  
3 have a seat and, if you feel the need to come up, then  
4 please do that.

5 I believe that the person that has been  
6 designated now to represent the ANC is its chair,  
7 Commissioner Whiting. And I'd ask her to come  
8 forward. Ms. Whiting, when you come forward, just to  
9 make sure that there's utmost clarity, could you just  
10 point to the item in the record that designates you as  
11 the spokesperson for the ANC?

12 MS. WHITING: Yes, I will. Good evening  
13 to the Commission. Under Draft Minutes ANC 4B,  
14 Special Meeting, September 5, 2006, page 5/6.

15 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Just let us  
16 catch up here. Ms. Schellin, if you could just make  
17 sure we have that. Which is it?

18 MS. WHITING: I have -- I have copies for  
19 you if you don't have them.

20 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Let's make  
21 sure that everybody has a copy of that.

22 MS. WHITING: The minutes were just  
23 updated by our secretary, so you probably do not have  
24 them.

25 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Then let's

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 have those in the record.

2 MS. WHITING: She sent them to all the ANC  
3 Commissioners.

4 (Whereupon, off microphone  
5 comments/questions were made by an unidentified  
6 person.)

7 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. We are not  
8 going to have a dialogue randomly from the audience.  
9 So we have the Chair of the ANC here and is submitting  
10 something into the record.

11 (Whereupon, a brief off-record discussion  
12 of administrative business ensued.)

13 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. So just let me  
14 recap and you tell me if I have a correct  
15 understanding. Which is that there was a vote of the  
16 ANC as to who would represent the ANC. And it was  
17 five to four. And then there was an individual who  
18 elected to change his vote and that vote then was  
19 recorded as four in favor and four opposed. So that  
20 that motion failed. So we're back to the outcome of  
21 the five to four vote, which named you as the  
22 spokesperson for the ANC. Is that correct?

23 MS. WHITING: The -- the letter from  
24 Gotlieb Simon --

25 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. WHITING: -- states that the four/four  
2 stays and the vote fails.

3 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Well, the vote -- the  
4 four/four vote was to change the individual who would  
5 be the spokesperson. Is that right?

6 MS. WHITING: No. That's not. No.  
7 That's -- that's for something else.

8 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Sorry. Okay.  
9 What was it for?

10 MS. WHITING: The vote for who would  
11 represent the ANC?

12 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes.

13 MS. WHITING: If you're on page five/six?

14 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Page five/six? Okay.  
15 Okay.

16 MS. WHITING: In number two there was a  
17 one to seven roll call vote. The Commission rejected  
18 Commissioner Jones' original language. Commissioner  
19 Jones was the only yes. Commissioners Green, Wheeler,  
20 Grant, Hickman, Burke, Whiting, and Bawser voter no to  
21 Commissioner Jones representing the ANC.

22 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. And so who was  
23 -- I really do not want you to randomly call out. So  
24 what's the vote that preceded this, designating  
25 someone? So that vote failed. Then what?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. WHITING: The vote that you're  
2 speaking of is on the entire resolution for support of  
3 the West Group proposal.

4 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. And that's  
5 number 4 on page five/six?

6 MS. WHITING: That is correct.

7 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. And then part  
8 of that resolution, I see, as I go to the end says  
9 that Commissioner Jones is the designate.

10 MS. WHITING: It says that Commissioner  
11 Jones -- let's see, where it is -- where is it? By  
12 consensus, the Commission agreed to add a last  
13 sentence to the resolution that was drafted by  
14 Commissioner Bawser. Furthermore, ANC 4B07,  
15 Commissioner Jones shall be a representative of ANC 4B  
16 in presenting the resolution only.

17 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

18 MS. WHITING: On September 7th.

19 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Okay. So  
20 that's the part of the resolution?

21 MS. WHITING: And that's why -- that is  
22 correct. And it was -- it was specified --

23 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

24 MS. WHITING: -- in the one to seven vote  
25 that she would not be representing the ANC.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. So you should  
2 have company at the time that --

3 MS. WHITING: Of the resolution.

4 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. So that will  
5 be part of your report. But, for now, you are going  
6 to do the cross examination?

7 MS. WHITING: Yes.

8 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Are you ready  
9 to proceed with that?

10 MS. WHITING: I would prefer that the West  
11 Group go first, if that's okay.

12 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: They did go first.  
13 That was the July 20th --

14 MS. WHITING: Well, they were going to  
15 present more tonight as far as, you know, their  
16 rebuttal. And that's the only thing that the  
17 Commissioners wanted to see, if there were going to be  
18 any questions on, on everybody.

19 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. So you don't  
20 have any additional cross examination questions on  
21 what you heard already on the 20th?

22 MS. WHITING: No, Ma'am.

23 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And you don't have  
24 any questions on any additional submissions you  
25 received in the mean time?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. WHITING: Submissions from West Group  
2 or submissions --

3 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Submissions from the  
4 Applicant.

5 MS. WHITING: No. No, Ma'am.

6 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. That's fine.  
7 That's good. Do you want to say anything, Mr.  
8 Glasgow? Okay. You're cool, Mr. Glasgow? Okay.  
9 Great. So then, we don't have any cross examination,  
10 at this time. If they put on any rebuttal testimony  
11 that you want to ask any cross examination on, we'll  
12 get to that point.

13 So now we'll have the presentation of the  
14 resolution.

15 MS. WHITING: Okay.

16 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: So you should have  
17 company at the table, right?

18 MS. WHITING: That's correct.

19 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Commissioner  
20 Jones. Okay. Go ahead.

21 MS. WHITING: You're reading the  
22 resolution.

23 MS. JONES: No. You are.

24 MS. WHITING: The vote was for  
25 Commissioner Jones to read the resolution.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

2 MS. WHITING: And that's the scope of her  
3 representation for ANC -- ANC 4B. You have the  
4 minutes there in front of you and they're non-  
5 disputable.

6 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Then why don't  
7 we have Ms. Jones identify herself for the record and  
8 present the resolution? Are you prepared to do that,  
9 Commissioner Jones?

10 MS. JONES: No.

11 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: You're not prepared  
12 to present the resolution?

13 MS. JONES: I -- I can. Yes, I can.

14 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Well then  
15 would you do that please?

16 MS. JONES: I have to -- I have to find  
17 the changes that she made.

18 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I need you to take  
19 the microphone in front of you so that we get you on  
20 the record.

21 MS. JONES: Oh. Okay. I'm sorry.

22 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And maybe just  
23 identify yourself, at this point.

24 MS. JONES: My name is Judy Jones. I'm an  
25 ANC Commissioner for 4B07.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

2 MS. JONES: All right. I have my -- I  
3 have mine.

4 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. I just want to  
5 be sure that we have the most current version of the  
6 resolution in the record.

7 MS. JONES: Yes.

8 MS. WHITING: Yes, you do.

9 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. And that was  
10 attached to what?

11 MS. WHITING: To what Ms. Schellin just  
12 handed out.

13 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: What she just handed  
14 out to us? Okay.

15 MS. JONES: Resolution for ANC 4B and ANC  
16 4B07 to reject PUD Zoning Commission Case No. 05-30,  
17 6000 New Hampshire Avenue, N.E., whereas, a quorum of  
18 ANC 4B Commissioners were present on this date;  
19 whereas the density -- whereas the density should be  
20 closer to the density of the existing zoning on the  
21 site; whereas there's a lack of consensus and consent  
22 in the effected 4B07 area on many major issues, such  
23 as density, design, affordability, traffic, and  
24 amenities; whereas D.C. Water and Sewer Authority and  
25 D.C. Fire Department's reports are inconclusive;

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1       whereas D.C. -- DDOT's conditional report demands  
2       legal conditions and provisions; and be it resolved  
3       that ANC 4B rejects the West Group plan. Furthermore,  
4       ANC 4B07 Commissioner Judy Jones shall be a  
5       representative of ANC 4B in presenting this resolution  
6       on September 7th to the Zoning Commission.

7                   CHAIRPERSON MITTEN:     Okay.     Are you  
8       reading off of page four/six?

9                   MS. JONES:     Five.

10                  MS. NEWTON:    If I may, Chairman?

11                  MS. JONES:     Five.     It says page six.  
12       Excuse me.

13                  CHAIRPERSON MITTEN:   Okay.

14                  MS. JONES:     Page six of six.

15                  MS. WHITING:    If I may, Madam Chairman?  
16       You're looking at the original resolution --

17                  CHAIRPERSON MITTEN:   Oh. Okay.     I got  
18       that.

19                  MS. WHITING:    -- that Commissioner Jones  
20       presented.   And we amended that resolution.

21                  CHAIRPERSON MITTEN:   Okay.     I got you.

22                  MS. WHITING:     So it's five/six and  
23       six/six.

24                  CHAIRPERSON MITTEN:   Okay.

25                  MS. JONES:     I didn't sent that.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I've got you.

2 MS. JONES: I'm reading off her minutes.

3 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I've got it.

4 MS. JONES: Okay.

5 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Is there  
6 anything else from the official report of the ANC?

7 MS. JONES: Yes.

8 MS. WHITING: The official report of the  
9 ANC stands as the resolution that was read.

10 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

11 MS. WHITING: And the minutes of that  
12 meeting are attached, as well.

13 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. So is that the  
14 sum total of what you intend to present as the  
15 official report of the ANC?

16 MS. WHITING: That is correct.

17 MS. JONES: Yes. But not me. I have  
18 more.

19 MS. WHITING: That is correct.

20 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: The official report  
21 of the ANC is what is being present now. And all the  
22 other opportunity for testimony was on the 20th of  
23 July.

24 MS. JONES: Okay.

25 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Very good.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Thank you.

2 MS. WHITING: Thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Glasgow?

4 MR. GLASGOW: I had noted in the record  
5 that there had been -- one of the Commissioners had  
6 stated that he was in the process of changing his  
7 vote, which would have meant a four/four tie. And no  
8 report from the ANC. But I think that, at this point,  
9 I'm not going to cross examine them on that.

10 I mean, the record is what it is on that.  
11 And you've got the report and it talks about the  
12 Commissioner changing his vote. I don't know whether,  
13 in the record, there was a report by Mr. Gotlieb Simon  
14 stating that the effect of what had happened would  
15 have been a four/four tie.

16 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We have the e-mail  
17 that's the cover of this new exhibit.

18 MR. GLASGOW: Right. Right. Okay.  
19 That's -- that's not on mine. But that's -- that's  
20 all right. I just wanted to make sure that that was  
21 --

22 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Well, we'll  
23 make sure that you get a copy of that.

24 MR. GLASGOW: That's -- that's fine. So  
25 I don't think that there's any particular purpose for

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 me to serve to try to cross examine them on that.

2 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Would you like  
3 to go ahead with the closing statement?

4 MR. GLASGOW: Yes. We'd like to go ahead  
5 with our rebuttal and our closing statement.

6 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right.

7 MR. GLASGOW: Okay. Thank you. Mr.  
8 Jarvis?

9 MR. JARVIS: Good evening. My name is N.  
10 William Jarvis. I am with The Jarvis Company and one  
11 of the partners in the West Group Development Project  
12 that is the proponent of the PUD application this  
13 evening.

14 We'd like to introduce a Memorandum of  
15 Understanding to the Zoning Commission that changes  
16 slightly our PUD application and the plan.

17 After the hearing of July 20th, there were  
18 certain representatives of the community that decided  
19 to get together to see whether there was an ability to  
20 come to some acceptable accommodation between the  
21 community and the developer on this particular  
22 project.

23 And they began to meet in earnest for  
24 about 30-45 days. And about two weeks ago, they sat  
25 down with us and went through some of the design

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 principles that they though were important and some of  
2 the changes that they thought were important.

3 And we came to an agreement with them that  
4 we could make those particular changes. And so we now  
5 have a Memorandum of Understanding that has been  
6 executed by some of the participants in that community  
7 meeting. And it's an increased number of community  
8 groups than were here beforehand, testifying.

9 And it does make some changes to the  
10 development that we had presented to you on the 20th.  
11 Specifically, it decreases the density in the -- in  
12 the -- in the program. And so where we earlier were  
13 indicating a development plan that had 187 residential  
14 units, this new development plan has 169 residential  
15 units.

16 We had replaced townhomes that were lining  
17 Peabody Street, and actually I'm going to have our  
18 architects and our engineers actually present this in  
19 -- in more graphic form so that you can see what we're  
20 talking about. But we had townhomes that were on  
21 Peabody Street where maybe it was better to have  
22 single-family homes. And so, single family homes are  
23 now there and single family homes now ring the entire  
24 perimeter of the project.

25 The -- to -- to give you a -- a recitation

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 of what the proposed unit count would be, there would  
2 be 73 townhomes, 38 single family homes, and 58  
3 condominium units, still preserving the existing two  
4 buildings.

5 And -- and, for the most part -- and all  
6 the green space would remain as -- as we had done.  
7 Although, we did make a commitment to them to  
8 landscape and tier the great lawn area so that it  
9 could not be used for anything other than enjoyment.  
10 I mean, it would not be considered to be a -- a ball  
11 field, or something along those type of lines, based  
12 upon the landscaping process.

13 And so, with respect to the decreased  
14 density, the number -- the unit count would not be as  
15 demonstrated on this plan. But all of the other --  
16 you know, the infrastructure issues that we had dealt  
17 with, all of the landscaping, all of the sidewalks and  
18 the -- and the other commitments, all those things  
19 will remain the same way that they had been in the --  
20 in the PUD application.

21 In addition, we did make a commitment to  
22 -- well, I won't say this is a commitment. We did  
23 feel the need, then, to also revise the number of  
24 affordable units that we were dealing with. We would  
25 be diminishing the number of affordable units because

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 we were correlating the number of affordable units to  
2 the total number of units on the property.

3           Whereas, in the -- in the previous plan,  
4 there were 17 affordable units, there would not be 14  
5 affordable units. And those 14 affordable units would  
6 be divided between three affordable townhomes, and 11  
7 affordable condominium units.

8           We also were making a commitment of  
9 community resources -- of resources to the community  
10 that we had an opportunity at least discuss with the  
11 community beforehand.

12           And I think we made a representation that  
13 we were willing to make a commitment of resources to  
14 various organizations and -- and activities in the  
15 community. But that we had not had the opportunity to  
16 go back and forth with members of the community to  
17 find out where those things should be placed.

18           And so we now have a higher dollar amount;  
19 \$150,000 worth of community benefits and a specific  
20 delineation of where those community benefits would  
21 go; meaning which organizations and for what specific  
22 purposes those organization should use that -- those  
23 funds.

24           And so we -- we have -- we -- we would  
25 like to distribute the -- it's a three-page Memorandum

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 of Understanding.

2 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That would be great.  
3 Let me just ask you while the things are being passed  
4 out, has this been presented to the Office of Planning  
5 prior to tonight? The revisions?

6 MR. GLASGOW: The -- the nature of the  
7 revisions have been discussed with the Office of  
8 Planning. We've discussed with them the decrease in  
9 the number of units of the project; the respective  
10 percentages of -- and we have some additional  
11 information that we're going to be presenting tonight,  
12 for the record, as to percentages of what the relative  
13 decreases are in percentage of units of the project  
14 and percentage of units of the affordable housing; how  
15 those correlate.

16 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

17 MR. GLASGOW: Okay. But this has -- this  
18 has been discussed with the Office of Planning. Yes.

19 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: But maybe with a  
20 certain lack of specificity?

21 MR. GLASGOW: That -- yes. That's --  
22 that's right.

23 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

24 MR. GLASGOW: They are seeing some things  
25 also, for the first time too.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

2 MR. GLASGOW: But we discussed with them  
3 what it was that we would -- we would be doing this  
4 evening.

5 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. And then, in  
6 terms of the ANC, have you presented any of this to  
7 the ANC?

8 MR. GLASGOW: The -- there was a  
9 representative of the ANC who has signed the  
10 Memorandum of -- of Understanding. The ANC was part  
11 of the process of the discussion.

12 MR. JARVIS: Well, let's make sure that we  
13 are accurate, for the record. There was not a formal  
14 ANC designated representative, but there were members  
15 of the ANC --

16 MR. GLASGOW: Right.

17 MR. JARVIS: -- who did participate in  
18 that small group. And two nights ago we did present  
19 this information to the ANC. And also, the members of  
20 this community group did present the reason -- the  
21 rationale as to why their particular organizations  
22 were now supporting this. So it all did go get before  
23 the ANC.

24 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Anyone have a  
25 follow-up question for the ANC?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Just a little one.  
2 You showed a different drawing -- a different plan.  
3 Are you all prepared to give us that plan tonight? I  
4 guess we need to view the plan.

5 MR. GLASGOW: That -- that -- that plan  
6 will be submitted tonight.

7 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Tonight?

8 MR. GLASGOW: Tonight.

9 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. A smaller  
10 version for us to look at as you talk?

11 MR. GLASGOW: That is correct.

12 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

13 MR. GLASGOW: As a matter of fact, you are  
14 getting a copy of the drawing right now.

15 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Good  
16 man. Thank you.

17 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: I have a question  
18 in terms of the reduction in the number of units.  
19 What does that represent in terms of FAR -- change in  
20 FAR from what was submitted before to now? Do you  
21 have that number?

22 MR. GLASGOW: Yes. I think we're reducing  
23 by about 25,000 square feet. Steven's got that  
24 number. Mr. Sher has those numbers.

25 MR. SHER: At the risk of preempting

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 myself later, for the record, my name is Steven E.  
2 Sher, the Director of Zoning and Land Use Services  
3 with the law firm of Holland and Knight.

4 And Ms. Schellin will get down the row  
5 with you in a moment and hand you these numbers. But  
6 we were at a gross floor area of 391,234. We're now  
7 at a gross floor area of 369,684. That was .77 FAR  
8 down to .73 FAR. And I'll go through those now or  
9 later, at your pleasure.

10 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: And the number of  
11 condominiums, that stayed the same?

12 MR. GLASGOW: No. They reduced by three.

13 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Did they reduce?  
14 They reduced by three? Okay.

15 MR. SHER: If you look at the top of --  
16 the top sheet of what you just got --

17 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Oh, I see. Okay.  
18 I just got it. I got it.

19 MR. SHER: It went from 61 to 58. And  
20 then all that -- that's set forth there.

21 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. And the  
22 bulk of the -- and then, of the condominiums, most of  
23 the affordable units will be condominiums? What's the  
24 number?

25 MR. SHER: If you look at the second page

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 -- no, I guess it's actually not in there. We would  
2 have 14 condominium units -- 14 affordable units; 11  
3 condominium apartment units and three townhouses.

4 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Oh. Okay.

5 MR. GLASGOW: And Mr. Jeffries, before the  
6 split was 12 and five.

7 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay.

8 MR. GLASGOW: 12 in the condo building.  
9 So we've -- we've tried to keep all of these  
10 reductions --

11 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Percentages pretty  
12 much the same?

13 MR. GLASGOW: Yes. Proportionally.

14 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Anyone else have  
15 questions at the moment? Do you have anything more to  
16 present? I don't want to preempt you.

17 MR. GLASGOW: Yes. We would -- we would  
18 like to run the Commission through the plans and --  
19 and so that you can see how those changes are in the  
20 site plan.

21 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

22 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And how we dealt with  
23 the great lawn and -- and all of those type of things.

24 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

25 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Madam Chair, in

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 your conversation, if you could talk about, and I  
2 think I mentioned this at the last -- it's been a long  
3 month. But anyway, I know we talked about the drop  
4 and I'm looking at the houses along New Hampshire  
5 Avenue. And we may have addressed it at the last  
6 hearing, but I'm concerned about run-off. And I think  
7 we discussed -- there are not basements along New  
8 Hampshire Avenue. It's rocks?

9 MR. GLASGOW: That is correct.

10 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Still, if you'd  
11 still talk about run-offs, because there's still the  
12 floorless level to the ground. And let's talk about  
13 that in your discussion. Thank you.

14 MR. LOHSEN: Good evening, Commissioners.  
15 Arthur C. Lohsen, Frank, Lohsen, McCurty Architects.  
16 I'm Design Architect for the project.

17 I -- I think Mr. Jarvis gave you a good  
18 overview of the changes that have been made.  
19 Primarily, it's lining Peabody Street with single  
20 family houses, rather than the townhouses that were  
21 originally proposed. That includes the out parcel  
22 between First Street N.E. and New Hampshire, where we  
23 used to have I think seven or eight townhouses, which  
24 is now three single family units.

25 Again, the rationale for all this -- this

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 major change was to decrease the density, but also to  
2 face like with like in the neighborhood. To sort of  
3 carry that to completion throughout the rest of the  
4 project.

5 Adding those single family houses along  
6 Peabody Street forced a slight change on the  
7 townhouses going up to the large condominium building.  
8 We still managed to line First Street N.E. with  
9 facades, not -- not backs. The sides of the units  
10 that actually face First Street, N.E., that's our type  
11 5 townhome, which has a -- the front door on the side  
12 and a porch, as well.

13 The great lawn was always a -- a slightly  
14 sloped -- slightly sloped lawn with a retaining wall  
15 on the -- the south and east sides. What we've done  
16 is reduce the height of that retaining wall from about  
17 three feet down to, I think, about 18 inches; which  
18 has given us the ability to accentuate the terracing  
19 of that lawn. So it's -- it's clearly not an  
20 athletic field. But it still provides a wonderful  
21 place for the community to -- to gather for casual  
22 activities and -- and recreation.

23 The -- the -- as part of our sort of refit  
24 of this part of the plan, we've endeavored to maintain  
25 the diversity of housing stock that's been part and

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 parcel to the project from -- from the beginning. And  
2 we feel strongly that it's -- it's sort of a very  
3 important part of the project; to maintain the  
4 different types of townhome units; the different mix  
5 of townhomes and single family homes and their  
6 integration to the -- with the condo buildings.

7 Finally, we've done a -- a few massing  
8 sketches to illustrate -- and we've got a board of  
9 those and I think they're in your packet. Just some  
10 quick perspective massing sketches to illustrate the  
11 scale of the proposed homes in relation to some of the  
12 existing homes adjacent; particularly along  
13 Rittenhouse Place.

14 And I just also want to restate for the  
15 record the commitment that the West Group and this  
16 project has to a very high level of architectural  
17 quality; a commitment to putting brick on all four  
18 facades of -- of all these buildings, which is a -- a  
19 significant esthetic, but also a financial burden that  
20 we feel is important for this community.

21 There's also a level of architectural  
22 detail, trim, moldings, details, etc., that are sort  
23 of above and beyond what -- what wouldn't have to be  
24 done by right, but we feel is important to integrate  
25 these buildings into the community.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           And finally, there's an integration of the  
2 infrastructure into the -- the project. We've made a  
3 commitment to underground storm water retention, which  
4 I think will -- is my initial response to Commissioner  
5 Hood's question about run-off and drainage. And we  
6 have our engineers -- our civil engineers here tonight  
7 who can perhaps answer your questions in further  
8 detail, should you require it.

9           But there's a -- an underground system of  
10 storm water run-off storage and filtration, which is  
11 sort of above and beyond what needs to be done by  
12 right. But that allows us to -- to put all that stuff  
13 away, manage the storm water run-off, but not have to  
14 deal with storm water retention ponds and esthetic  
15 issues that really aren't appropriate for the  
16 neighborhood.

17           And I think that's -- that's a pretty good  
18 overview of the changes that have been made,  
19 architecturally.

20           CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Anything  
21 else that you wanted to present?

22           MR. GLASGOW: Yes. We'd like to have Mr.  
23 Sher make his presentation, going through the numbers.  
24 And then we have Mr. Papazian here, I believe.

25           CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes. We see him.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. GLASGOW: Yes. All right. In case  
2 there were any questions concerning traffic. But we  
3 -- we have the -- the DDOT report. That's solely up  
4 to the Commission members. We've reduced density.  
5 We've assumed that if we didn't have a problem before,  
6 with reducing density by 18 units, we -- but we're  
7 prepared on that if there's some type of question.

8 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

9 MR. GLASGOW: All right. Mr. Sher?

10 MR. SHER: I -- I'd like to give the  
11 statistical overview of what you heard before, on the  
12 three pages that you've been handed. And just to --  
13 to run through those quickly.

14 On the first page, we compared the  
15 previous proposal, i.e., the one that was presented at  
16 the hearing back in July, with what we've just handed  
17 to you. And, as -- as I discussed briefly in -- with  
18 -- in response to Commissioner Jeffries' questions,  
19 we've reduced the number of units from 187 to 169.

20 The FAR's gone down slightly. The lot  
21 occupancy's gone down slightly. The total area of  
22 community parks has essentially remained the same. We  
23 have not reduced any of those in -- in the changes.  
24 But -- and the extra lot occupancy basically gets  
25 picked up as open space around the individual units.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           If you look at the second page, or maybe  
2 I'll -- I'll just skip to the third page, and then  
3 come back to the second, we ran computations that we  
4 presented at the first hearing on -- on occupancy and  
5 green space over the site as a whole. And you can see  
6 in the first column that our -- our lot occupancy  
7 technically was 27 percent when you excluded the  
8 private drives and -- and alleys that were in there  
9 and -- and what I -- what I'll call you come up with  
10 a net site area. The occupancy of that was about 35  
11 percent.

12           The numbers now are 26.6 and 34.7. So  
13 there's not a significant difference there. And when  
14 you look at the green space, our number's gone up a  
15 little bit and, as a percent of the total site, the  
16 numbers are essentially the same. They're not --  
17 there's not a significant difference there. But we've  
18 provided those.

19           Then to turn back to the affordable  
20 housing calculation, some of this required a little  
21 bit of -- of making some assumptions on my part to get  
22 here. Because you're comparing an R-1-A district now,  
23 which doesn't have an FAR.

24           It -- it allows a -- a minimum lot size  
25 and -- and lot occupancy and height and so forth, to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 a zone which has an FAR. And so how do you get --  
2 it's sort of -- maybe it's Mackintosh apples to  
3 Delicious apples or whatever it is.

4 But, to -- to get to a point where I  
5 could come up with what I thought was a reasonable  
6 comparison, what I assumed as the matter of right  
7 density was if you took the entire site of 505,000  
8 square feet and -- and assumed that you would have a  
9 -- a lot area of 5,000 square feet, that would be 101  
10 lots.

11 But, in order to actually build it, you're  
12 going to lose some of those lots because of streets,  
13 alleys, and so forth. So I knocked that down by 20  
14 percent; which is a standard sort of reduction in  
15 something like that. We haven't tried to lay that out  
16 exactly, but 20 percent is the right order of  
17 magnitude.

18 So that gets us down to 80 matter of right  
19 lots. So, when you look at the first bunch of  
20 calculations on that page, looking at the affordable  
21 housing as a percent of units, we were at 187. The  
22 increase was 107 over the 80. That's -- and we had 17  
23 units. That was 15.89 percent.

24 Now we're at 169 lots. We have an  
25 increase of 89. We have 14. We're a -- a shade off

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that. These are not -- you can't have half a unit.  
2 It's either all of a unit or not all of a unit. So we  
3 have 14 versus 17, 15.89 percent, versus 15.73  
4 percent.

5 If you look at the square footage, which  
6 is the next block, what I did there was -- was have to  
7 make an assumption about what the -- the typical size  
8 of a house would be under R-1-B. We assumed again,  
9 and I think Mr. Parsons asked this question the last  
10 time, if you have a 5,000 square foot lot and you're  
11 allowed to occupy 40 percent of it over three stories,  
12 you could, in theory, build a 6,000 square foot house.  
13 That would be out of scale and unlikely in this  
14 neighborhood.

15 So we looked at the size of houses we were  
16 proposing on somewhat smaller lots, adjusted that, and  
17 I used as my bench line a house of 3,500 square feet.  
18 If you took 80 times the 3,500, that gets you to a  
19 baseline of 280,000 square feet, under the existing  
20 zoning. Again, that's net site, taking 20 percent out  
21 and whatever.

22 When you run those numbers, you get to the  
23 percentage -- the affordable housing as a percentage  
24 of the increase of 20 percent of the old and 25  
25 percent under this plan. So we have done better than

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 where we were before, because of the sizes of the  
2 units have changes, and so forth.

3 So that's all before you and -- and I --  
4 I think the real, sort of, bottom line here is that  
5 the proportions are all essentially the same. We --  
6 we've changed somewhat the number of units. We've  
7 reduced the number of units; changed the lot occupancy  
8 and the FAR. But they're all essentially in the same  
9 ratio as to where they were before.

10 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Thank you.  
11 Anything else?

12 MR. GLASGOW: That concludes our rebuttal  
13 testimony.

14 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Let me see if  
15 the Commissioners have any more questions. And then  
16 we'll get to any cross examination. Anybody else have  
17 any questions for Mr. Glasgow?

18 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Quick question for  
19 the architect. The oval garden, what's -- I see that  
20 some of the yards to some of the row houses are facing  
21 that. What's exactly going on there?

22 MR. LOHSEN: The oval garden is adjacent  
23 to the large condominium building? Is that what  
24 you're referring to?

25 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Yes.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. LOHSEN: That is making use of the  
2 space immediately adjacent to that large condominium  
3 building. The townhouses along Sligo Place are  
4 actually about three feet lower than the oval garden.

5 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: So there's some  
6 clear distinction of space?

7 MR. LOHSEN: That's correct.

8 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay.

9 MR. LOHSEN: The oval garden, there's a  
10 landscape plan in the amended packet which shows the  
11 edges of that oval garden are landscaped.

12 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. All right.

13 MR. LOHSEN: Sheet SO8. Are landscaped to  
14 provide -- okay. And that's in the original package.

15 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Oh. That's the  
16 original. Okay.

17 MR. LOHSEN: And they're landscaped to  
18 provide shelter and --

19 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. But there's  
20 an elevation grade difference between those? Okay.

21 MR. LOHSEN: That's -- that is right;  
22 between the alley and the lawn.

23 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. Thank you.

24 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Hood?

25 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. We were

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 talking about the storm water run-off, and you  
2 mentioned the retention wall. Can you tell me where  
3 it is on this schematic; where it would be located and  
4 how many would it be?

5 MR. LOHSEN: I defer to Rob Jeter from  
6 Kimley Horne Engineers to answer that.

7 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

8 MR. JETER: Sir, I would like to turn your  
9 attention to sheets S-26, which is the proposed  
10 grading and drainage plan on the amended package.

11 On the amended package, we have two large  
12 indicators for underground retention --

13 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let me catch up  
14 with you. I'm not moving as fast.

15 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We don't have an S-  
16 26.

17 MR. SHER: This was in the original  
18 package submitted back in July.

19 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Oh, that one? Okay.  
20 No, this one.

21 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: There's a number  
22 of packages here.

23 MR. SHER: Yes.

24 MR. JETER: Mr. Hood, I believe you have  
25 the right package. The -- the amended package.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: This one here?  
2 Oh, I had the right one.

3 MR. JETER: You had the right package.

4 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Oh, I'm sorry. That  
5 was me.

6 MR. JETER: There is one version in each  
7 package. This amended package replaced the original  
8 submittal.

9 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Here.

10 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I have it  
11 now. Okay. Thank you. You can go ahead. I have it.

12 MR. JETER: The storm water on this site,  
13 there's approximately a 30 foot drop from Sligo Mill  
14 road down to New Hampshire Avenue. We will be  
15 capturing the majority -- all of the run-off in  
16 underground detention systems.

17 The run-off from the roofs of the  
18 townhomes and the single family homes will be captured  
19 on individual lot retention and underground filtration  
20 systems.

21 The run-off from the roadways will be  
22 captured in catch basins and will enter into the  
23 detention basins. Before the water leaves the site,  
24 it will go through one of three sand filters. On the  
25 site, the sand filters are designed to treat the water

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 quality on the site.

2 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Help me with the  
3 location. I think I see it. Can you tell me the  
4 location of the three; where they are located?

5 MR. JETER: Okay. They -- they're near  
6 the exits to the property. So there would be -- there  
7 would be down -- one on Quackenbos Place near New  
8 Hampshire Avenue, where that tie-in to the storm water  
9 would occur into New Hampshire Avenue.

10 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

11 MR. JETER: It's there. There is a  
12 rectangle representing that sand filter there.  
13 There's a -- there is a rectangle representing the  
14 sand filter near unit AE-1, which is just north of  
15 Quackenbos Place.

16 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: AE-1? Let's see.

17 MR. JETER: There's a -- a set of six  
18 parking spaces.

19 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Slow down and let  
20 me read. I'm just trying to see. So basically, it  
21 looks like so far the first two are in line with  
22 each other as we progress up the hill?

23 MR. JETER: Correct. They are capturing  
24 water as it is coming down that direction. There's a  
25 sand filter near Rittenhouse Street at the exit to the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 property; a rectangle there.

2 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So what do we do  
3 at Peabody and New Hampshire?

4 MR. JETER: The flow for this -- pretty  
5 much this whole haft of the site is actually being  
6 captured in the storm drain systems and being brought  
7 back into the underground retention here. So all the  
8 flow on the south -- southern half of the property  
9 will ender into the sand filter -- or, excuse me,  
10 first into the detention area -- the large detention  
11 area, and then will exit the site through the sand  
12 filter.

13 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Now, let me  
14 ask you, previous experience has told this Commission  
15 that they have to be, I guess, cleaned every -- what,  
16 how many years? Every so often.

17 MR. JETER: The sand filters need to be  
18 cleaned about once a year. And that will be the  
19 responsibility of the Home Owners' Association.

20 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And that's  
21 already -- I guess that's understood and that's been  
22 discussed. Because I understand it costs quite a bit  
23 of money.

24 MR. JETER: That would be something that's  
25 under the Homeowners' Association. It's not uncommon

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 in these type of developments. In fact, part of what  
2 is -- what had been requested by the Commission before  
3 was two pieces. One that we just submitted to Ms.  
4 Schellin; the Planned Unit Development Inclusionary  
5 Housing Commitment Standards; which is taken from the  
6 standards that we did on the H Street Project that --  
7 off of Rhode Island Avenue and Fourth Street.

8 And then also there is a sheet that talks  
9 about the maintenance of common areas and green spaces  
10 and uses of community room, which I recall the  
11 Commission members wanted some language as to who  
12 would be responsible for that.

13 And, in the first paragraph, the  
14 maintenance of common areas and green spaces covers  
15 all of those types of things.

16 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All right.  
17 Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair. That's all the  
18 questions I have.

19 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I just wanted to ask  
20 a follow-up question. Since Mr. Hood has been pretty  
21 diligent about asking about this, I just want to get  
22 a little bit more educated. If you have a site that  
23 has slopes like this, is there some different standard  
24 for dealing with the water? Because it's not just  
25 falling vertically down; it's got this other force on

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 it.

2 Is there -- how do you accommodate the  
3 fact that it can -- it's not just going to sit on a  
4 flat surface and be absorbed? How do you design to  
5 deal with that?

6 MR. JETER: The slope on a site has to do  
7 with the -- the pace of the run-off.

8 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes.

9 MR. JETER: So you'll have higher  
10 intensity and flow rates, to which you have to  
11 capture. So, as the water runs off faster, you have  
12 to enlarge your storm drain system to be able to  
13 capture the peak flows.

14 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: So it's part of a  
15 formula?

16 MR. JETER: It is, actually.

17 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Okay. Anyone  
18 else? Mr. Turnbull?

19 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Madam Chair, I  
20 just have one question. We have them -- and maybe if  
21 there's an update to the areas that are subject to  
22 land transition -- transaction; those areas. Is there  
23 an update? Is that still something?

24 There are several parcels that are  
25 indicated. There's one down by the -- on New

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Hampshire; the home.

2 MR. GLASGOW: We have letters of  
3 authorization from those owners that we can put into  
4 the record on those.

5 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.

6 MR. GLASGOW: And I thought -- didn't they  
7 get put in last time? I think they were put in the  
8 last hearing.

9 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. I wasn't  
10 sure.

11 MR. GLASGOW: That's fine.

12 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. Thank you.

13 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Anyone else? Mr.  
14 Parsons?

15 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I think you've made  
16 some good progress over the summer in getting there.  
17 There were a couple of points that I brought up at the  
18 hearing that I don't see a response to, so I'm going  
19 to bring them up again.

20 One is the observation I made last time  
21 about the potential impact, and I don't know how to  
22 describe it other than to just show it to you; it's  
23 this house outside the project that is behind the --  
24 or below the parking area, the six car parking area.

25 And we -- we talked about the need for a

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 retaining wall there. What kind of relationship  
2 between your development and this existing house was  
3 going to occur. And I think the response was yes,  
4 there will be a retaining wall.

5 And I was urging you to reconsider the  
6 parking lot. Did you think any more about that?

7 MR. JETER: I would like to respond  
8 regarding the constraints of the property with the 30  
9 foot drop on the property.

10 We are constrained in terms of the roadway  
11 network on the maximum allowable slopes; particularly  
12 Rittenhouse Place. You'll see that the contours on  
13 the exhibit that you're looking at are tightly spaced.  
14 That is a DDOT requirement and we've committed to  
15 making sure that our design of our streets meets  
16 DDOT's criteria, both in -- in geometric design as  
17 well as material design.

18 So, it's a matter of how fast can you get  
19 down the hill, so to speak.

20 MR. GLASGOW: Mr. Parsons, I think we can  
21 -- we've -- we have a fair amount of parking on this  
22 site, I think, as the Commission is aware. And I  
23 think that's probably part of your question; if we  
24 have a lot of excess parking on the site, do we have  
25 to have these six spaces.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes.

2 MR. GLASGOW: And I've talked with the  
3 Applicant and the answer is no, we don't need those  
4 six spaces.

5 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes. I was hoping  
6 that we could pull back from that and maybe pull back  
7 your requirement for a retaining wall to get it out of  
8 this individual's back yard.

9 MR. GLASGOW: Yes.

10 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: And not have to  
11 erect something on the property line, even though we  
12 don't know how high it is from these drawings.

13 MR. GLASGOW: I think we can -- we can  
14 work with that, Mr. Parsons, because we don't --

15 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Can we take a look  
16 at that? Can you give us another sketch?

17 MR. GLASGOW: Yes. Right. Because we  
18 don't need these -- these spaces to make this project  
19 work. We have -- we have sufficient parking for  
20 guests, for our own needs, and -- and we've -- we've  
21 accounted for that.

22 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: All right. Now, I  
23 was also concerned last time about the setting of the  
24 -- I'll call it the historic property, but we'll call  
25 it the condominium -- the big building and the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 possibility of eliminating all of the I units and the  
2 K units, that is four of each, to provide a grand  
3 lawn, if you will, or a great lawn in front of that  
4 building.

5 And I think the response of the architect  
6 was, well these townhouses will provide an allay of a  
7 sense of entry; sense of arrival at this place, or  
8 something. I can't remember. I'm paraphrasing.  
9 Which I disagreed with.

10 But I wondered if you'd had any  
11 opportunity to think about that any further?

12 MR. JARVIS: Mr. Commissioner, I guess we  
13 thought that they were still an important part of the  
14 development.

15 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Okay. Thank you.

16 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Anyone else? Okay.  
17 Let's see if Commissioner Whiting has any cross  
18 examination? No cross examination? Okay. Then Ms.  
19 Jefferson? Or Mr. Martin, are you here? Okay.  
20 Great.

21 Do you have any cross examination of the  
22 rebuttal testimony, sir? I need you on a microphone,  
23 so perhaps this gentleman can make room for you.  
24 Would you turn the microphone on for me? Just state  
25 your name for the record, so that we know who you are.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. MARTIN: I's Lawrence L. Martin.

2 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

3 MR. MARTIN: I represent Citizens Aware.

4 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes.

5 MR. MARTIN: And I heard mentioned in some  
6 of the earlier testimony something about traffic. And  
7 I wondered whether, at this point, I could enter  
8 empirical data to illuminate on that particular  
9 subject?

10 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: You can do that. You  
11 can't do that orally, but you can submit that for the  
12 record.

13 MR. MARTIN: Okay.

14 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Did you have  
15 any questions on what you heard from the Applicant?

16 MR. MARTIN: Well, I did have questions.  
17 They were talking about the Memorandum of  
18 Understanding. I had problems with that and I think  
19 the community has problems with that.

20 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. This is not  
21 the time to testify.

22 MR. MARTIN: Oh, okay.

23 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Did you want to ask  
24 anything?

25 MR. MARTIN: I want to ask them a

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 question.

2 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Great. Go  
3 ahead.

4 MR. MARTIN: Mr. Jarvis, on the Sunday of  
5 September -- I mean of August 27th, how is it that a  
6 meeting was held to advance the proposal -- a change  
7 in the proposal from July 20th with people who were  
8 not representative of the community? And I notice on  
9 the signed sheet, you have, if I'm looking at this  
10 correctly, you have a Memorandum of Understanding and  
11 you have a number of spaces for people to sign.

12 The Lamond Riggs's Association, Citizens  
13 Aware, Lamond Community Action Group, and for the West  
14 Group Development. And my question is, how did you  
15 plan to negotiate this Memorandum of Understanding  
16 without going through the proper channels?

17 MR. JARVIS: Mr. Martin, the -- I'm not  
18 sure that I know that there is a specific proper  
19 channel. We negotiated this Memorandum of  
20 Understanding with the people who came to us,  
21 indicating that they were the representatives of a  
22 community group; some of whom were specific that they  
23 had specific authorization from their organizations to  
24 do this negotiation; some of whom were specific that  
25 they didn't have authorization, per se, but they had

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 participated in a community process and they still  
2 wanted to relay the indications of what it was that  
3 they wanted to make sure the design principles were.

4 So, from that standpoint, the development  
5 team took the negotiating group that we negotiated  
6 with as we found them. We didn't dictate who they  
7 were and we didn't specify who they should be. They  
8 came to us and we talked to them. And that's how the  
9 Memorandum of Understanding came to be.

10 MR. MARTIN: Sir, are you aware of the  
11 membership of the Lamond Riggs Citizens Association?  
12 They claim they have 200 members.

13 I would suggest to you, and I have this  
14 question, of the 200 members of the Lamond Riggs  
15 Citizens Association, only a hand full belong to 4B07  
16 4B08. The rest of the members are in 4B09 or in Ward  
17 5.

18 And my question is they're on here to  
19 represent the community. Now we are the ones who are  
20 directly affected, and you don't hear our --

21 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: What's the question?

22 MR. MARTIN: You're not listening to --

23 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Martin, what's  
24 the question?

25 MR. MARTIN: The question is are you aware

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 of the membership and the make-up of the Lamond Riggs  
2 Citizens Association as a part of this Memorandum of  
3 Understanding?

4 MR. JARVIS: Mr. Martin, yes, I am. And,  
5 in fact, the Lamond Riggs Citizens Association came to  
6 this body on July 20th and testified against us and  
7 claimed to have standing. And therefore, we  
8 negotiated with them and we came up with a Memorandum  
9 of Understanding.

10 So they had standing on July 20th. We  
11 were negotiating with the President. The President  
12 indicated that he had the authorization of his body.  
13 And that's who we focused with.

14 MR. MARTIN: On the meeting of September  
15 5th, there was a great disagreement between a member  
16 there and the President of Lamond Riggs Citizens  
17 Association. I guess you were there?

18 MR. JARVIS: Yes, sir.

19 MR. MARTIN: My question there is, when  
20 the challenge was made and you were sitting there,  
21 then there was no mention made by you and how do you  
22 still believe that this Memorandum of Understanding  
23 represents the community?

24 MR. JARVIS: Mr. Martin, I can only deal  
25 with the people who come to me holding the office of

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the organizations and make the representations that  
2 they have the authority.

3 MR. MARTIN: Now, the other question I  
4 have is on this Memorandum of Understanding there is  
5 no space for the ANC 4B07, on which the property is  
6 located. There is no space for her signature at all,  
7 as a part of this Memorandum of Understanding.

8 My question is, why is there that  
9 omission?

10 MR. JARVIS: Because that Advisory  
11 Neighborhood Commissioner was not part of the small  
12 group that came to us that wanted to negotiate a  
13 Memorandum of Understanding.

14 MR. MARTIN: Why wasn't she invited or why  
15 wasn't she a part of that small group of -- of people  
16 who represented the community?

17 MR. JETER: I'd have to reiterate, we  
18 didn't invite anybody. We didn't specify who the  
19 group was. The group formed of its own and came to  
20 us.

21 MR. MARTIN: Well, as I understand, a  
22 meeting was held out of the area and these people had  
23 to be invited to find -- to -- to know where they were  
24 going. My question is, why is the single member  
25 district ANC omitted from this list?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Just bear with me.  
2 He already answered that question. And unless -- I  
3 think the Commission understands that this was a group  
4 that approached the developer. And they composed  
5 themselves.

6 And if you have any more on the subject of  
7 who was there and why were they there, I don't think  
8 that's going to be helpful to the Commission at this  
9 point. So I'm going to ask you to move on to another  
10 subject, if you have one.

11 MR. MARTIN: All right. In terms of the  
12 vote, the four/four vote, at the meeting were you  
13 aware that the ANCs took a vote, five to three, and  
14 then, after the meeting was adjourned, there was a  
15 change in the vote, after the meeting was adjourned.  
16 Then there was a --

17 So my question is, are you aware of that  
18 change of vote after the meeting was adjourned?

19 MR. JARVIS: Madam Chair, if that's  
20 helpful, I'm happy to attempt to answer.

21 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: What's the purpose in  
22 you asking that question?

23 MR. MARTIN: Because I think --

24 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We have a whole  
25 litany of this and that and back and forth with the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 ANC.

2 MR. GLASGOW: Mr. Jarvis didn't testifies  
3 as to any of that.

4 MR. MARTIN: Okay.

5 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Mr. Martin,  
6 what's your purpose in asking that question?

7 MR. MARTIN: Because I feel that the July  
8 20th vote should have stood or should stand, and not  
9 the change of a vote after a meeting is adjourned on  
10 a subsequent date on September 5th.

11 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Well, there's clearly  
12 -- you know, our Commission, this Commission, the  
13 Zoning Commission has to sort out what position of the  
14 ANC will receive great weight. So you don't need to  
15 debate that with the developer and you don't need to  
16 draw more information out. I think the record is what  
17 the record is on that subject.

18 So did you have any other questions  
19 germane to the presentation that they made?

20 MR. MARTIN: No. Excuse me for a moment.  
21 Mr. Jarvis, has the community seen the new drawings?  
22 Has the community seen the new drawings?

23 MR. JARVIS: I would ask -- the answer is  
24 no.

25 MR. MARTIN: Have the ANCs seen the new

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 drawings?

2 MR. JARVIS: The answer is no. The  
3 community came to us in a period of time that did not  
4 afford the full architectural review of this process.  
5 And so, in agreement, what the community has seen  
6 repeatedly is our plan and now our plan simply has  
7 less units.

8 MR. MARTIN: Therefore, I'm ask you, then  
9 this is a new plan?

10 MR. JARVIS: Mr. Martin, I guess I would  
11 disagree. It's the same plan. It's simply a plan  
12 with less units and with a revision of moving some  
13 single family homes to where some townhomes were.  
14 But, for the most part, it's the same plan that has  
15 been proposed to the community and with which we've  
16 been discussing with the community for a year and a  
17 half.

18 MR. MARTIN: Mr. Jarvis, this -- was this  
19 the plan that was voted on by the ANCs?

20 MR. JARVIS: I can't speak for the ANC,  
21 Mr. Martin.

22 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Did you have anything  
23 else, Mr. Martin?

24 MR. MARTIN: No.

25 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Thank you,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 very much. Okay. Now I would like to ask  
2 Commissioner Whiting to come back up for a second.  
3 Because the question that Mr. Martin asked is a  
4 question that I was curious about, myself. And he  
5 can't ask it, so I will.

6 The vote that was taken by the ANC, were  
7 any of the new elements that were presented to us  
8 tonight before your commission when you took the vote?

9 MS. WHITING: They were presented without  
10 pictures at the September 5th ANC special meeting.

11 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. So  
12 conceptually, with words, they were presented?

13 MS. WHITING: Yes.

14 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Anybody have  
15 any follow-up on that? Okay. Thank you, very much.  
16 Mr. Glasgow, would you like to have your closing now?

17 MR. GLASGOW: Yes. Just very briefly,  
18 Madam Chair and members of the Commission, in  
19 preparing for our rebuttal testimony and making our  
20 final presentation and closing statement in this case,  
21 we have worked extremely hard with community  
22 representatives to put together a proposal that meets  
23 the communities' and the District's needs.

24 We believe that we have come up with a  
25 rationale and thoughtful development proposal for this

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 ten plus acre site, which includes a combination of  
2 unit sizes, types, detached single family homes,  
3 townhomes, condominium living accommodations, with a  
4 mix of affordable and market rate housing with large  
5 community open spaces. That would not occur in that  
6 fashion, obviously, if we were not going through a PUD  
7 process.

8 In reviewing this case, the Commission I'm  
9 sure is mindful and considers the significant benefits  
10 that will occur as a result of the PUD. Part of that  
11 is the storm water management and all of those things  
12 that go into some of those processes that the  
13 Commission has asked about tonight.

14 The project has an affordable housing  
15 component with 14 units, which would not otherwise be  
16 produced and which is approximately 16 percent of the  
17 unit increase, as a result of the PUD, and  
18 approximately 25 percent of the FAR -- of the  
19 increased FAR in the PUD.

20 The proposal would also return the two  
21 existing office uses to productive residential use, so  
22 we would have a change of use on the site, from the  
23 non-residential to residential use.

24 In addition, the quality of construction  
25 should be very important to the community and the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 City. We've been down here and seen where the  
2 Commission has taken great interest in the quality of  
3 design in other projects that have recently been  
4 reviewed.

5 In this case, we know the increased cost  
6 of construction to the project to have masonry facades  
7 on all views of the building is another \$5-600,000 in  
8 expense. The additional costs for landscaping that we  
9 have for sidewalk areas and areas in public space that  
10 would be improved around the site is over \$1,000,000.  
11 The triangular park located off of Chillum Place will  
12 cost an additional \$200,000 to landscape and plant as  
13 we have shown the Commission with our drawings. So  
14 there are significant improvements to public space as  
15 a result of this proposal.

16 The Commission is also aware that the PUD  
17 process provides the opportunity for DOES First Source  
18 Employment and LSDVE agreements which would not be  
19 done under a matter of right construction.

20 We have been working with the Office of  
21 Planning and the community for approximately a two-  
22 year time frame to come up with this project, and we  
23 recognize there continues to be some division with the  
24 community with respect to the proposal. But we have  
25 a Memorandum of Understanding. We have made

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 significant progress with major community groups  
2 within the area that now support the project that  
3 didn't previously.

4 We believe that -- therefore, we believe  
5 that we have a very well thought out and comprehensive  
6 solution for development of this site which, while it  
7 may not please everyone, certainly has substantial  
8 support within the community.

9 We believe that implementation of this  
10 plan will be an asset to the community and to the  
11 District of Columbia; will further the goals of  
12 affordable housing and provision of a number of  
13 different housing types and styles within the  
14 community, and is worthy of receiving the approval of  
15 this Commission. Thank you.

16 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. I think  
17 the only thing that we have left is to have the  
18 revised site plan that reflects the removal of the six  
19 parking spaces, as you discussed with Commissioner  
20 Parsons.

21 MR. GLASGOW: That's correct. That is  
22 correct.

23 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And, unless any of  
24 the Commissioners feel otherwise, I'd like to leave  
25 the record open for that item as well as the item that

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Mr. Martin wants to submit, which is some empirical  
2 data regarding traffic. And, other than that, the  
3 record will be closed as of tonight.

4 So there's only two items that it's open  
5 for, is for the revised site plan and Mr. Martin's  
6 data. And then --

7 MR. GLASGOW: Madam Chair, could I ask one  
8 question with respect to that?

9 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Sure.

10 MR. GLASGOW: Because we also have data  
11 with respect to accident counts and things at various  
12 intersections around this area.

13 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

14 MR. GLASGOW: And so we would then, if  
15 that is going to be receive from him, we'd like you to  
16 receive ours.

17 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

18 MR. GLASGOW: Because we got our  
19 information from DDOT.

20 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. So we'll have  
21 some traffic data from the Applicant and from Mr.  
22 Martin. And, other than that, the record will be  
23 closed as of tonight. And the record will stay open  
24 to receive those materials until the 21st. Is that  
25 how I'm supposed to read my note? Okay. So, you'll

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 have to submit that, Mr. Martin, by the 21st of  
2 September.

3 If you have a question, you need to come  
4 forward.

5 MS. SCHELLIN: I think he might have it  
6 tonight. Is that what it is? Do you want to submit it  
7 tonight?

8 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Just let him answer  
9 me.

10 MR. MARTIN: I would like to know whether  
11 or not we have an opportunity to question the ANCs.

12 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Oh, you know, I'm  
13 sorry. You know, I didn't give you that opportunity  
14 when they made their report. So, did you want to  
15 question them on their report?

16 MR. MARTIN: I would like to ask --  
17 request -- ask questions of the single member district  
18 or the Chair, Ms. Whiting, if I may.

19 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Is it confined to the  
20 resolution that they submitted? Because that was the  
21 sum of their testimony.

22 MR. MARTIN: Yes.

23 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: So, Mr. Martin, you  
24 wanted to ask the ANC about their resolution? Okay.  
25 I didn't give them the chance, Mr. Glasgow. It's my

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 fault. We'll have Ms. Jones and Ms. Whiting up front.

2 MR. GLASGOW: Madam Chair, will we have an  
3 opportunity to submit a draft order?

4 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We would love that.  
5 I haven't gotten through all the deadlines yet. Mr.  
6 Martin, what are your questions? Mr. Martin, it's  
7 time to ask the questions.

8 MR. MARTIN: All right. All right. The  
9 resolution introduced, does it represent the prior or  
10 the present -- or the new proposal just presented; the  
11 prior or the new proposal just presented?

12 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I just asked that  
13 question. I just asked that question. Okay. So  
14 what's the next question?

15 MR. MARTIN: What -- what -- what was the  
16 answer?

17 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: The answer is that  
18 the Applicant, at their meeting when they took this  
19 vote, had orally presented the new concepts without  
20 showing the boards that we saw tonight.

21 What's your question, Mr. Martin?

22 MR. MARTIN: My question is, did they vote  
23 on that? Did the ANCs vote on that?

24 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That was the nature  
25 of your vote, was it not?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. JONES: No.

2 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. You need to  
3 sit back. Okay. Poor Mr. Martin. He's getting it in  
4 both ears sometimes. Okay. What did you vote on?

5 MS. WHITING: We voted on what was  
6 presented September 5th.

7 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And that includes the  
8 oral changes, no boards?

9 MS. WHITING: The oral changes and the  
10 resolution with the amendments.

11 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

12 MS. JONES: No, that was not. The  
13 resolution I submitted, which was the resolution in  
14 this, was the -- the prior presentation.

15 MS. WHITING: And that's why friendly  
16 amendments were made to it, because of what the West  
17 Group presented on September 5th.

18 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That's why, when I  
19 looked on page four, you said look on page five?

20 MS. WHITING: Right. Right.

21 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. So what you  
22 voted on and what is your resolution reflects the --

23 MS. WHITING: September 5th's meeting.

24 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. What  
25 else, Mr. Martin? Please sit down.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. JONES: That's not -- that's not the  
2 resolution.

3 MR. MARTIN: Where -- let me ask you this  
4 question. Can you indicate where this is -- where  
5 this is reflected?

6 MS. WHITING: It doesn't state that it's  
7 voted on what date, just like the one on July 20th  
8 didn't state what date it was voted. What we had in  
9 front of us on September 5th is what we were listening  
10 to and what we voted on.

11 We weren't voting on what was told to us  
12 on July 27th hearing.

13 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: She's testified to  
14 it. What's your next question, Mr. Martin?

15 MS. JONES: That wasn't the Commission.  
16 That wasn't the understanding of the other ANCs.

17 MS. WHITING: It's reflected in that  
18 resolution, that we took a vote on September 5th. We  
19 took a vote on what was presented September 5th, at  
20 the start of the meeting, which was 7:13 until 9:00  
21 something. It's listed in the minutes.

22 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Did you vote after  
23 you heard the Applicant's presentation?

24 MS. WHITING: Yes. The vote was at the  
25 end of the meeting.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. I think that's  
2 all we need to know. Mr. Martin, what else do you  
3 have?

4 MR. MARTIN: I -- I have no further  
5 questions. My -- my question to Ms. Whiting is, and  
6 you say it's been answered, but I couldn't understand,  
7 and I ask again, I couldn't understand why -- why,  
8 after the vote was adjourned, the ANCs voted to  
9 adjourn. And this change came about after the ANCs  
10 voted to adjourn.

11 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I'm going to ask you  
12 to -- I mean, I think that, you know, that's all  
13 interesting. And you guys can talk about that amongst  
14 yourselves. The Commission is pretty confined in  
15 terms of what we can accept as the resolution from the  
16 ANC that we will give great weight.

17 So how all the machinations went on at the  
18 meeting, maybe you can talk about that after the  
19 hearing is over. Okay?

20 It's not germane to this Commission's  
21 decision making. Okay? Thank you. Thank you all  
22 very much.

23 Okay. So, just to review because we --  
24 and I apologize again, Mr. Martin, for not giving you  
25 the opportunity at the time. The record is closed as

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 of tonight, except for traffic data from the  
2 Applicant, traffic data from Mr. Martin, and the  
3 revised site plan.

4 And then those will be received by the  
5 21st of September. Then the ANC and Citizens Aware  
6 can respond to the submission that you see. You will  
7 have the opportunity to respond. And then I guess  
8 October 5th is the date by which you will have to file  
9 the proposed findings of fact and conclusions of laws,  
10 as well.

11 And then our meeting is on October 16th,  
12 and all of these submissions, the timing is such that  
13 this could be on the agenda for our October 16th  
14 meeting, which is a Monday.

15 Does everybody understand the dates for  
16 submissions? Okay. Then I would just -- first of  
17 all, I'd like to thank as many of you who chose to  
18 come back out tonight as did for joining us, because  
19 I know it was a long night on the 20th and it's nice  
20 to have you back with us. We had a nice break during  
21 August.

22 And, as I said, you know, the record is  
23 closed except for those few items. As I said earlier  
24 also, we would intend that this would be on the agenda  
25 for our October 16th meeting. The meetings are at

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 6:30 and they are on the second Monday. And I guess  
2 that's not quite the second Monday, but there's a  
3 holiday in there or something. The third Monday, and  
4 we're back in this room.

5 You're welcome to come and observe our  
6 deliberation and voting, but typically folks don't  
7 participate at those meetings. So, if you're  
8 interested in finding out for sure whether it's on the  
9 agenda, you can call Ms. Schellin at the Office of  
10 Zoning. And the hearing is now closed and we're  
11 adjourned.

12 (Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned at  
13 8:51 p.m.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25